

Dated: 14 June, 2023

### CORRIGENDUM II

**Subject: Request for Proposal (RFP) for Evaluation Study of road projects and the functioning of the National Highways Authority of India**

1. This Corrigendum forms an integral part of the above RFP.
2. Pursuant to the release of the RFP for the Evaluation Study of road projects and the functioning of the National Highways Authority of India issued by DMEO, NITI Aayog on 8th May, 2023, the following are the amendments in the RFP. The deletions in the earlier text of the RFPs are indicated as strikethrough and the additions are underlined:

| S.No. | Clause No.   | Provision in the RFP  |   |                   |      |  |  |                      |   |                          |  |   |                      |   |
|-------|--|---|---|-------------------|------|--|--|----------------------|---|--------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---|
| 1     | Invitation for Proposal<br>Clause 1.8 Schedule of Selection Process          | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>#</th> <th>Event Description</th> <th>Date</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Monday, 12 June 2023</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Proposal Due Date or PDD</td> <td>Tuesday, 27 June 2023 (1100 hrs)<br/><u>Thursday, 29 June 2023 (1100 hrs)</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Opening of Proposals</td> <td>Tuesday, 13 June 2023<br/>Wednesday, 28 June 2023 (1400 hrs)<br/><u>Friday, 30 June 2023 (1400 hrs)</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | # | Event Description | Date |  |  | Monday, 12 June 2023 | 4 | Proposal Due Date or PDD | Tuesday, 27 June 2023 (1100 hrs)<br><u>Thursday, 29 June 2023 (1100 hrs)</u> | 5 | Opening of Proposals | Tuesday, 13 June 2023<br>Wednesday, 28 June 2023 (1400 hrs)<br><u>Friday, 30 June 2023 (1400 hrs)</u> |
| #     | Event Description  | Date  |   |                   |      |  |  |                      |   |                          |  |   |                      |   |
|       |  | Monday, 12 June 2023  |   |                   |      |  |  |                      |   |                          |  |   |                      |   |
| 4     | Proposal Due Date or PDD   | Tuesday, 27 June 2023 (1100 hrs)<br><u>Thursday, 29 June 2023 (1100 hrs)</u>  |   |                   |      |  |  |                      |   |                          |  |   |                      |   |
| 5     | Opening of Proposals   | Tuesday, 13 June 2023<br>Wednesday, 28 June 2023 (1400 hrs)<br><u>Friday, 30 June 2023 (1400 hrs)</u>   |   |                   |      |  |  |                      |   |                          |  |   |                      |   |
| 2     | Invitation for Proposal<br>Clause 3.2<br>Short-listing of Applicants         | <b>3.2 Short-listing of Applicants</b><br><br>Of the Applicants ranked as aforesaid, <del>not fewer than three (3)</del> shall be pre-qualified and short-listed for financial evaluation in the second stage.  |   |                   |      |  |  |                      |   |                          |  |   |                      |   |
| 3     | Schedule-1: TOR<br>Clause 2 Objectives of the study                          | <b>2.1 To assess key performance pillars such as Relevance, Effectiveness, Efficiency, *Financial Viability and Sustainability of NHAI in the context of the external environment, internal motivation and capacities of the institution.</b><br><br><u>*Note: The phrase 'Financial Viability' of NHAI may be read as 'Financial Assessment' of NHAI at all occurrences in the RFP.</u>  |   |                   |      |  |  |                      |   |                          |  |   |                      |   |
| 4     | Schedule-1: TOR<br>Clause 4.4.1. Methodology for Evaluation of Road Projects | <b>Stakeholder interviews and user survey for all completed/<u>ongoing</u> projects:</b> Interviews will be divided into the following:   |   |                   |      |  |  |                      |   |                          |  |   |                      |   |

| S.No.        | Clause No.   | Provision in the RFP   |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |
|--------------|--|--|-----------|----------|----------|--------------|--|------------------------|----------|---|-------------|
| 5            | Schedule-1: TOR<br>Clause 5. <i>Stakeholders to be Consulted</i>   | Table 3 <b>4</b> <i>Description of Stakeholders</i>  |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |
| 6            | Schedule-1: TOR<br>Clause 6 <i>Deliverables and Timelines</i>  | 6.1 Following are the major deliverables<br>a) Inception Report and Presentation with final scope, methodology and approach. This should also include findings from the secondary research and literature review.<br>b) Mid Term Report and presentation of detailed models outlined in the previous section, <b>initial findings of the study, SWOT Analysis, Piloting of the tools, Training Report, Learning from the piloting, changes in the research tools after piloting, if any.</b>   |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |
| 7            | Schedule-1: TOR<br>Clause 6<br><i>Deliverables and Timelines</i>   | Table 4 <b>5</b> <i>Timeline for Project execution</i><br><table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Serial No</th> <th>Activity</th> <th>Deadline</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><del>7</del></td> <td><del>Submission of report to stakeholders (Ministry/NHA) for comments (after incorporating DMEC Comments)</del><br/><del>Note: The consultant is required to get the approval and clearance of all the various key stakeholders including the Ministry and NHA itself on its recommendations before submission of the final report. This might require multiple presentations and interactions with senior officials.</del></td> <td><del>T+ 165 days</del></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>7</b></td> <td>Finalisation of Report basis comments from Stakeholders</td> <td>T+ 180 days</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Serial No | Activity | Deadline | <del>7</del> | <del>Submission of report to stakeholders (Ministry/NHA) for comments (after incorporating DMEC Comments)</del><br><del>Note: The consultant is required to get the approval and clearance of all the various key stakeholders including the Ministry and NHA itself on its recommendations before submission of the final report. This might require multiple presentations and interactions with senior officials.</del> | <del>T+ 165 days</del> | <b>7</b> | Finalisation of Report basis comments from Stakeholders | T+ 180 days |
| Serial No    | Activity   | Deadline   |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |
| <del>7</del> | <del>Submission of report to stakeholders (Ministry/NHA) for comments (after incorporating DMEC Comments)</del><br><del>Note: The consultant is required to get the approval and clearance of all the various key stakeholders including the Ministry and NHA itself on its recommendations before submission of the final report. This might require multiple presentations and interactions with senior officials.</del> | <del>T+ 165 days</del>   |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |
| <b>7</b>     | Finalisation of Report basis comments from Stakeholders  | T+ 180 days  |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |
| 8            | Schedule-1: TOR,<br>Clause 7. <i>Payment Schedule</i>  | Table 5 <b>6</b> <i>Payment against deliverables</i>   |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |
| 9            | APPENDIX-I:<br>Form-1  | <b>Subject: Consultancy Services for Evaluation of the Scheme on Promotion of Agricultural Mechanization for In-Situ Management of Crop Residue in the States of Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh and NCT of Delhi</b> <b>RFP for Evaluation Study of road projects and the functioning of the National Highways Authority of India</b>  |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |
| 10           | APPENDIX-II:<br>Form-2:<br>Financial Proposal  | Note:<br>1. The financial evaluation shall be based on the above Financial Proposal, excluding Additional Costs. The total in Item <b>G F</b> shall, therefore, be the amount for purposes of evaluation. Additional Costs in Item H shall not be reckoned for purposes of financial evaluation.   |           |          |          |              |  |                        |          |   |             |

3. All the other terms and conditions of the RFP shall remain unaffected.
4. Responses to Queries have been enclosed in the document.

| Responses to Queries of Bidders |            |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| S. No.                          | RFP Clause | Query  | Response   |
| <b>Invitation for Proposals</b> |            |  |  |
| 1                               | 2.1        | We understand Organization assessments includes as-is analysis and diagnostic of HR elements such as organization structure, processes, policies etc. Please clarify   | Please refer to Clause 2.1 of Schedule-1: ToR of RFP.  |
| 2                               | 2.1.1      | Can we participate as a consortium   | Please refer to clause 2.1.1 of the RFP which is clear and self-explanatory.   |
| 3                               | 2.1.4      | Request the Authority to kindly include a position of Information Technology Expert given the Objective and Scope of Work of this assignment.  | Please refer to Clause 2.1.4 of the RFP no change is contemplated.   |
| 4                               | 2.2        | What is the eligibility for submitting project proposal  | Please refer to clause 2.2 of the RFP which is clear and self-explanatory.   |
| 5                               | 2.2        | Our is educational institution, is it eligible to apply or any eligibility, plz communicate us   | Please refer to clause 2.2 of the RFP which is clear and self-explanatory.   |
| 6                               | 2.2        | Since quality is a broader term, we request you, to kindly provide details on the specific quality parameters to be considered for assessment of the road quality. Depending on the timeline, we presume quality here talks about the physical condition of the pavements. | Kindly refer to clause 2.2 of schedule-1: ToR of the RFP.<br><br>Quality refers to the quality of the deliverable as per the specific project which is being evaluated (it may include but not limited to the physical condition of roads). The consultant should propose the parameters/indicators which will be finalized in consultation with DMEO. |
| 7                               | 2.2.1      | (1) Kindly elaborate this clause<br>(2) Please specify whether there is any applicant company or institution referred to in this clause  | Please refer to Clause 2.2.1 of the RFP.   |
| 8                               | 2.2.2 (B)  | The consultant would like to understand whether combined turnover of both the firms would be considered to qualify the turnover criteria or the lead firm has to meet the turnover criteria of Rs 5 crore.   | Please refer to Clause 2.1 and 2.2.2 of the RFP. No change is contemplated.  |
| 9                               | 2.2.2 (D)  | Request the authority to kindly modify the requirements as provided below. Minimum Length of Professional Experience- 12 Years   | Please refer to Clause 2.2.2(D) of the RFP. No change is contemplated.   |
| 10                              | 2.2.2      | The consultant understands that out of 3 minimum eligible assignments two assignments will be related to 3.1.4 (a) and 3.1.4 (b) and the third assignment could be in any of the category.   | Please refer to 2.2.2 of the RFP which is clear and self-explanatory   |
| 11                              | 2.2.2 (D)  | Please provide more information about the employment type of the said resources in the organisation, as due to its nature of organisation, QCI uses a  | Kindly refer to clause 2.2.2 (D) of the RFP.   |

| <b>Responses to Queries of Bidders</b> |                   |   |   |
|--|-------------------|---|---|
| <b>S. No.</b>                          | <b>RFP Clause</b> | <b>Query</b>  | <b>Response</b>   |
|  |                   | vast pool of resources from its empannelled inspection bodies and boards. NABCB is the apex body to provide accreditation to all the inspection agencies, hence QCI uses their own resources along with the experts from the accredited bodies. So kindly clarify, if the resources from NABCB accredited bodies can be used. |   |
| 12                                     | 2.2.3             | We request to waive the condition of certificate from statutory auditor for each experience.  | Please also refer to clause 2.2.3 of the RFP., No change is contemplated.   |
| 13                                     | 2.7               | Kindly consider adding COVID-19 or similar pandemic as the force majeure scenario.  | Please refer to clause 2.7 of Schedule 2: Form of Agreement. No change is contemplated.   |
| 14                                     | 2.16.1            | We request that the Covering Letter of the proposal can be digitally signed by the Authorised Representative of the Applicant rather than each page of the submission to be digitally signed.   | Please also refer to clauses 2.16.1 and 2.16.4 of the RFP. No change is contemplated.   |
| 15                                     | 2.27              | As a general practice the Indemnity is usually limited to the value of the agreement. We request you to consider limiting the Indemnity to the value of the Agreement.  | Please refer to clause 2.27 of the RFP. No change is contemplated   |
| 16                                     | 3.1.3             | Whether the bidders would be required to make a Technical Presentation. We request to waive this condition that "Higher marks to be awarded for collaboration/ consortium/ partnership with academic institutions.  | Please refer to clause 3.1.3 of the RFP, where it is stated that presentations by bidders are part of the scoring criteria.<br><br>Please also refer to RFP APPENDIX-I Form-7 (point 3). No change is contemplated. |
| 17                                     | 3.1.3             | Request the client to specify the number of marks for collaboration / consortium / partnership with academic institutions   | Please refer to clause 3.1.3 of RFP, the marks will depend on the Methodology, Work Plan and collaboration proposed by the applicant.   |
| 18                                     | 3.1.3             | Request the authority to kindly modify the requirements of the team leader, as provided below.<br>1. Team leader (Maximum 12.25 marks)<br>2. 12 – 15 years: 6.5 marks<br>3. Above 15 years: 12.25 marks   | Please refer to Clause 3.1.3 of the RFP No change is contemplated.  |
| 19                                     | 3.1.3             | Request the Authority to kindly modify the financial capacity criteria to a minimum of INR 100 crores given the nature of this assignment.  | Please refer to Clause 3.1.3 of the RFP No change is contemplated.  |
| 20                                     | 3.1.4             | The objective of this assignment is much larger. We request to expand the Eligible Assignments  | Please refer to clause 3.1.4 of the RFP No change is contemplated   |

| <b>Responses to Queries of Bidders</b> |                   |  |  |
|--|-------------------|--|--|
| <b>S. No.</b>                          | <b>RFP Clause</b> | <b>Query</b>   | <b>Response</b>  |
| 21                                     | 3.1.4             | We request that there should not be any limit for the assignment value. Please waive this condition of at least Rs. 25 Lakhs for the assignment.   | Please also refer to clause 3.1.4 of the RFP No change is contemplated.  |
| 22                                     | 3.1.4             | We request to waive the condition that in the assignments being showcased by the Applicant (Bidder), the Key Personnel proposed for this Proposal should have worked. This may happen in small companies that there are very limited number of people who worked in the assignments carried out by the applicant earlier and the same small team of employees are being proposed for this assignment to DMEO. In large companies, there are many teams. There may be some exceptionally good team members who have not worked on the earlier assignments carried out by the Applicant.   | Please also refer to clause 3.1.4 of the RFP.  |
| 23                                     | 3.1.4             | We suggest inclusion of assignments granted by state/central government agencies as well   | Please refer to Clause 3.1.4 of the RFP, it is understood that the 'government' mentioned in the clause includes central/state government. |
| 24                                     | 3.1.4             | Request the authority to kindly consider modifying this criterion in view of potential stakeholder categorised as Unions/ Association which represent wider beneficiaries. "Evaluation related studies involving surveys: Evaluation studies or performance reports/ research reports granted by the government/ regulatory commission/ tribunal/ multilateral agencies/ statutory authorities/ public sector entities in respect of infrastructure sector in India with a minimum sample size of 100 households/ beneficiaries which includes stakeholder consultations."<br><br>Accordingly, suitable modifications are required to be undertaken in Clause 3.1.3 table "Relevant Experience of the Applicant".<br><br>Request the authority to kindly clarify the term "evaluation studies", does it consider studies undertaken for government authorities acting as decision maker to | Please refer to Clause 3.1.4 of the RFP No change is contemplated  |

| <b>Responses to Queries of Bidders</b> |                   |   |   |
|--|-------------------|---|---|
| <b>S. No.</b>                          | <b>RFP Clause</b> | <b>Query</b>  | <b>Response</b>   |
|  |                   | implement such projects, and/or includes post facto studies undertaken for government authorities for review purposes   |   |
| 25                                     | 3.1.4             | The Consultant understands that sub points 1 and 2 implies clause no. 3.1.4 on page- 37.  | Yes, please refer to Clause 3.1.4 of the RFP  |
| 26                                     | 3.1.4             | Request the authority to kindly confirm that the assignments of firm/ applicant and key personnel proposed can be different   | Please refer to clause 3.1.4 of the RFP.  |
| 27                                     | 3.2               | Request the authority to kindly delete this is criteria in line with standard practice adopted by central and state instrumentalities in selecting consultants.   | Kindly refer to Clause 3.2 of the RFP and corrigendum II.   |
| 28                                     | 3.4               | Given the nature of this consulting assignment, we request the authority to kindly consider evaluating proposal on QCBS criteria of 80% technical and 20% as financials.  | Kindly refer to Clause 3.4 of the RFP No change is contemplated   |
| <b>Schedule 1: Terms of Reference</b>  |                   |   |   |
| 29                                     | 2.1               | Please clarify if this refers to employee performance appraisal process.<br>If yes, is redesign of the same under the current scope of work?  | Kindly refer to Clause 2.1 of Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP No change is contemplated  |
| 30                                     | 2.1               | We understand the following shall be reviewed as a part of the scope:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• fitment of existing NHAI structure with its objective,</li> <li>• defined roles and responsibilities of unique positions across the organization,</li> <li>• competency needs for unique positions and</li> <li>• recruitment policy</li> </ul> Kindly confirm if our understanding is correct. | Please refer to Clause 2.1 of Schedule-1: ToR of RFP.   |
| 31                                     | 2.1               | Please provide more information on the following : Is the present form of the said CME REEFS in its final form ? Does the bidding agency has any scope of change in parameters under this framework ?   | Please refer to Clause 2.1 and 4.1 of Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP.<br><br>The objective is to assess key performance pillars such as Relevance, Effectiveness, Efficiency, Financial Viability and Sustainability of NHAI in the context of the external environment, internal motivation and capacities of the institution. |

| Responses to Queries of Bidders |            |   |  |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|--|
| S. No.                          | RFP Clause | Query   | Response   |
| 32                              | 2.1        | We understand that organization culture survey (to assess parameters like learning, dealing with uncertainty, inclusivity etc.) need to be undertaken. Please confirm if our understanding is correct. If yes, kindly share the stakeholder groups to be covered under the same. Validation through KIIs would be done through discussions only. Please confirm                     | Please refer to Appendix-IV of the RFP   |
| 33                              | 2.1        | Request clarity on deliverables and area to be covered under institutional capacity evaluation  | Please refer to Appendix-IV of the RFP   |
| 34                              | 2.2        | Request the Authority to clarify, if the consultant is required to review all projects awarded/implemented since 2008 or will a specific number of projects (finalised basis consultation with Client) suffice the requirement.<br><br>Secondly, we understand that the relevant information shall be provided timely by the Authority without affecting the overall work schedule. | Please refer to clause 2.2 of the Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP, no change is contemplated.<br><br>DMEO will share the details of the Nodal person from NHAI with the selected consultant after the commencement of the study. DMEO will also provide a facilitation letter to the consultant after the commencement of the assignment. |
| 35                              | 2.3        | We understand organization structure of NHAI (till N-2) level need to be covered for diagnostic. Further, based on gap analysis the consultant is expected to share recommendation on areas of improvement. Given the timeframe re-design of entire structure and HR policies is beyond scope of work. Kindly confirm if our understanding is correct.                              | Please refer to Clause 2.3 of Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP   |
| 36                              | 2.4        | Please elaborate the expected output from the inclusion of Freight and logistic companies in the survey   | Please refer to Clause 2.4 of Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP. Stakeholder interviews and user surveys are necessary for answering the specific sub-objectives mentioned in Clause 2.4  |
| 37                              | 3.5        | We understand, the scoring matrix will have to be designed by the consultant. Would this matrix be relevant for organization assessment as well ? Request clarity   | Please refer to Clause 3.5 of schedule-1 and APPENDIX-IV of the RFP  |
| 38                              | 4.4.1      | Kindly confirm whether the duration of the projects to be considered for the assessment from 2008 onwards or during implemented 2014-2021. On page no. 61, under Section: 4.4.1: Methodology for Evaluation of Road Projects, talks about   | Clause 4.4.1 is w.r.t. the objective mentioned in clause 2.4 of the Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP.<br><br>The methodology for objective 2.2, is mentioned in clause 4.3 of the Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP.  |

| Responses to Queries of Bidders |            |   |   |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|---|
| S. No.                          | RFP Clause | Query   | Response  |
|                                 |            | the period from 2014 to 2021.   |   |
| 39                              | 4.4.1      | <p>We assume that the selection of 20 sample projects will be chosen from list of 824 projects mentioned in page 14, Table 1. Kindly confirm.</p> <p>Out of 20 sample projects, only 5 will be chosen for “thorough socio- economic evaluation”. What is to be done with remaining 15 projects?</p> <p>Also what is the expectation from the evaluation of atleast 2 ongoing projects? Kindly clarify</p>   | <p>Please refer to clause 4.4.1 of the Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP. First table in APPENDIX-IV, contains a summary of data received from NHAI for projects approved after 2014. The selected consultant will be provided with the details of projects ongoing and completed by NHAI, NHIDCL and the Roads Wing (MORTH) since 2014 to 2021.</p> <p>Refer to clause 4.4.1. of the schedule-1: ToR of the RFP and Corrigendum II.</p> <p>Please refer to sub-objectives stated in Clause 2.4 of the Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP.</p> |
| 40                              | 4.4.1      | For the selection of respondents, the conditions have specific mention to “stretch” in both the conditions, Users, and Households. We seek your clarification on the term “stretch” and how is it going to vary for different projects with different project characteristics.  | <p>Please refer to clause 4.4.1 of the Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP.</p> <p>The word "stretch" here refers to the highway project selected for evaluation.</p>  |
| 41                              | 4.4.1      | We believe, to reach out to the conclusions as listed in the scope of work, the suggested sample size is comparatively low. Since the project samples belong to different project cost categories and different geographical locations, the sample size would play a vital role to reach out to conclusive results. Thus, would suggest increasing the sample size and evaluation cost, that would justify clear picture of the survey and would address the scope of work. | Please refer to clause 4.4.1 of the Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP, no change is contemplated.  |
| 42                              | 6.2        | Request the Authority to kindly clarify how, number of KII covered claims will be substantiated, will it be based on firm’s claim?  | Please refer to Clause 6.2 of Schedule-1:ToR of the RFP   |
| 43                              | 6.3        | It is suggested that the primary responsibility of seeking approvals shall be with the Authority and the consultants shall on need basis provide inputs,  | Kindly refer to Clause 6.3 of Schedule-1:ToR of the RFP and Corrigendum II.   |



| Responses to Queries of Bidders |            |   |   |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|---|
| S. No.                          | RFP Clause | Query   | Response  |
|                                 |            | interact and make presentations to key stakeholders to expedite the process   |   |
| 44                              | 7          | We request to kindly incorporate a new payment milestone between KD2 and KD3 for Deliverable No.5 (as per Table 4 – Timeline for Project Execution) which is Completion of Primary Data Collection with 20% payment. This activity would require incurrence of out of pocket expenses (in cash) by the Bidders. This 20% can be set off against For KD3 (Draft Evaluation Report) -20% For KD4 (Final Approved Evaluation Report) | <p>Please refer to clause 7 of the Schedule-1: ToR of the RFP, no change is contemplated.</p> <p>Kindly also refer to Corrigendum II.</p> <p>To clarify, by the time Primary data collection is completed, it is expected that instalment for KD2 would have been released as per the Timeline for Project execution mentioned in the ToR.</p> <p>Therefore, payment milestones KD1 and KD2 i.e. (20%+30%= 50%) are expected to be completed before the <i>Completion of the Primary Data Collection</i>.</p> |
| 45                              | 11         | We understand project team will not be posted in NHAI office and shall attend meeting as and when required. Pls clarify   | Kindly refer to Clause 11 of Schedule-1:ToR of the RFP which is clear and self-explanatory.   |
| 46                              |            | To meet the objectives, scope, and deliverables of the assessment, we understand that the details of SPOCs with whom coordination is required to be done for the availability of official records for review, and fieldwork will be shared well in advance before the commencement of work and before the stipulated time span. Kindly confirm whether understanding is right.  | DMEO will share the details of the Nodal officers after the commencement of the study. DMEO will also provide a facilitation letter to the consultant after the commencement of the assignment.   |
| 47                              |            | Request the client to confirm if they will facilitate/support in setting up the interviews with respective stakeholders including MoRTH, Concessionaires, Industry Associations etc.  | <p>Details of the Nodal officers from NHAI, NHIDCL and MoRTH will be shared after the commencement of the study.</p> <p>DMEO will also provide a facilitation letter to the consultant after the commencement of the assignment.</p>  |
| 48                              |            | Request client to clarify if On-field means work from client's/ stakeholder's base city..   | On-field here refers to the location of ground level work such as primary survey, KIIs etc.   |
| 49                              |            | <p>Please provide more information on : The usage of the data collection tool. Upon approval from the authority, is the selection of the tool totally upto the bidding agency ?</p> <p>Are there any minimum requirements for</p>   | <p>The selected consultant should propose the tool/software for CAPI, the same would be reviewed and finalized after approval of DMEO.</p> <p>The primary data collection will be monitored by DMEO on real-time basis.</p> <p>The consultant should provide a</p>  |

| <b>Responses to Queries of Bidders</b> |                   |   |   |
|--|-------------------|---|---|
| <b>S. No.</b>                          | <b>RFP Clause</b> | <b>Query</b>  | <b>Response</b>   |
|  |                   | the server ? Will the server maintenance costs be borne by the bidding agency ?   | dashboard for real-time monitoring of the data by DMEO. They should make the necessary arrangements for the collection and storage of the data.   |
| 50                                     |                   | Please provide more information on the following: Will the authority facilitate the setting up of these interviews?   | Details of the Nodal Persons from NHA, NHIDCL and MoRTH will be shared with the selected consultant after the commencement of the Assignment. Setting up of interviews is the sole responsibility of the consultant. DMEO will also provide a facilitation letter to the consultant after the commencement of the assignment. |
| <b>APPENDIX-II</b>                     |                   |   |   |
| 51                                     | Form-2            | Request the Client to clarify where consultant will have to provide the remuneration cost of the 7 person days of each key personnel. There is no option to enter the same in BOQ excel.  | Please refer to APPENDIX-II: Form-2 Financial Proposal of the RFP and Corrigendum II.   |
| 52                                     | Form-2            | Request the Client to clarify that as costs in Item H shall not be reckoned for purposes of financial evaluation, will these be paid on actual basis.   | Please refer to APPENDIX-II: Form-2 Financial Proposal of the RFP and Corrigendum II.   |
| 53                                     | Form-2            | BOQ File in MS-Excel Format is capturing data up to F i.e. Total Cost<br><br>Items G and H are not being captured in BOQ File. Please clarify how the applicant would specify its cost for items G and H.<br><br>We also request to kindly check BOQ File. Macros are not working well. After inputting data in figure, its not coming in word and the summation of all items is not happening. | Please refer to APPENDIX-II: Form-2 Financial Proposal of the RFP and Corrigendum II.   |