Response to Queries reg. Evaluation of MIS and PDPS:

Queries	DMEO Response
Regarding eligible assignments- we qualify some assignments, but for rest of the assignments there are less than 10 lakh rupees, like FPO Evaluation, almost all the projects are from public policy, but my request would be to consider few eligible assignments to understand the strength in better way.	No change is contemplated.
MIS merged in PM AASHA; will it create problems in conducting meta-analysis?	MIS scheme was merged with PM AASHA very recently. Merger of the MIS scheme with PM AASHA is not a problem in evaluation.
	We require only one National level report. Though, insights/best practices from the
Sample size- do we need to prepare report- National level, or zone/ state wise? So, in that case sample size would have to be modified	sampled states would be incorporated in the evaluation report, which does not require separate sampling.
Will the credentials of consortium partner	Consortium partner experience will be
also be considered as part of the eligible assignments?	considered. a. Scoring of relevant experience of the applicant:
	i. With respect to the Scoring Criteria mentioned in Clause 4.1.3 (1 (a) and 1 (c)), it is clarified that while considering the 10 eligible assignments the relevant experience of all the members of the consortium including the Lead Member would be considered for scoring. However, the Lead Member must fulfill a minimum of 40 percent of the total number of eligible assignments submitted by the Consortium (details to be

	filled at Appendix I Form 8 of the RFP,
	available online on DMEO website), and the
	remaining can come from one or more of the
	other consortium members.
	b. Number of Consortium Members: It is also
	clarified that for consortium, a maximum of
	four (4) consortium members (including the
	Lead Member) are allowed.
A (1 F): 11	Pefer to Clause 4.2 of PED which is salf
As per the Eligible assignment definition- we	Refer to Clause 4.2 of RFP, which is self-explanatory.
have done evaluation of public policy, which	explanatory.
is not in agriculture sector. So, will that also be considered, please provide clarification on	
that.	
In the eligible assignments- whether	Refer to RFP clause 4.2, which is self-
organizations like 3IE qualify this category as	explanatory
they have lot of government partners on	P
board?	
As a company we don't have specific	Refer to RFP clause 4.2, which is self-
Government sector experience but have lot of	explanatory
private sector experience. As per clause 4.2 of	
RFP, Government experience is required, will	
that impact us on scoring?	
While applying, if we are submitting a project	Refer to RFP clause 4.2, which is self-
in which we are not a lead partner, but it is	explanatory
being delivered to a client like NITI Aayog,	
would that be considered?	
In the event that we choose not to collaborate	No marks will be given if there is no
or form a consortium/partnership with	collaboration.
academic institutions, how will the allocation	
of the 4 marks assigned to this criterion be	
handled?	
Many of the indicative documents referenced	
may not be publicly available in their most	
may not be publicly available in their most	

recent or updated versions. Could you please confirm whether DMEO will provide access to the relevant scheme documents upon award of contract, as well as access to the MIS and PDPS scheme dashboards?	These issues will be discussed with the selected consultant, post-award of contract.
We request the consider the following criteria for economist position. 3 years: 3 marks >3 years to 5 years: 4 marks More than 5 years: 6 marks	No change is contemplated.
Considering the scope and geographical spread of the survey, we kindly request that the duration of the evaluation study be extended to 5 months.	No change is contemplated.
Kindly consider the proposal as the due date as 30th September 2025.	No change is contemplated.